

## United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois

Name of Assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge			I. Shadur	Sitting Judge if Other than Assigned Judge							
CASE NUMBER 01 0		C 2919	DATE	9/26	5/2001						
CASE TITLE		Cement Masons Pension Fund vs. King & Larsen, Inc.									
мо	TION:	[In the following box (a of the motion being pr	) indicate the party filing the motion, e.g., plaintiff, defendant, 3rd party plaintiff, and (b) state briefly the nature sented.]								
DO	CKET ENTRY:										
(1)	☐ Filed	I motion of [ use listing	ng in "Motion" box abo	ove.]							
(2)		in support of motion due .									
(3)		ver brief to motion due Reply to answer brief due									
(4)		ng/Hearing onset forat .									
(5)		rus hearing[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at									
(6)		al conference[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at									
(7)		set for/re-set for] on at									
(8)		h/Jury trial] [Hearing] held/continued to at									
(9)	☐ This	ase is dismissed [with/without] prejudice and without costs[by/agreement/pursuant to]  CP4(m)   General Rule 21   FRCP41(a)(1)   FRCP41(a)(2).									
(10)	[Other docket entry] Enter Memorandum Order. Defendant's answer is hereby stricken with leave granted to file the required Amended Answer in this Court's chambers on or before October 5, 2001.										
	granted to the the required Amended Answer in this court's chambers on or before October 3, 2001.										
(11)		advised in open court.	r attached to the origin	nai minute order.		Document					
	No notices required.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				Number					
1	Notices mailed by judge's staff.				number of notices						
	Notified counsel by telephone.				SEP 2 7 2001						
	Docketing to mail notices.			:							
	Mail AO 450 form.  Copy to judge/magistrate judge.		60	ST MOCKETING	docketing deputy initials						
[			OI SEP 26 PH 4: 13		9/26/2001	\					
SN		courtroom deputy's	O1 SEP	20 mil 4 1	date mailed notice						
		initials	Date/time i central Cle		mailing deputy initials						

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

CEMENT MASONS PENSION FUND, LOCAL 502, et al.,	) ) )			
Plaintiffs,	)			•
v.	)	No.	01 C 2919	
KING & LARSEN, INC., etc.,	)			
Defendant.	ý			SEP 2 7 2001

## MEMORANDUM ORDER

M.A. King Construction, Inc. and King & Larsen Construction, Inc. have filed what purports to be their Answer to the Amended Complaint brought against them by several employee benefit funds (collectively "Funds") suing under ERISA. This memorandum order is issued sua sponte to strike that pleading because of its basic flaws and to require the prompt filing of a self-contained Amended Answer.

To begin with, defense counsel has the bizarre notion (unfortunately shared by more than a few lawyers) that if any of a plaintiff's allegations amounts to a legal conclusion, no answer is required to the assertedly defective allegation. That is dead wrong--see App. ¶2 to State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co. v. Riley, 199 F.R.D. 276, 279 (N.D. Ill. 2001). Because every paragraph of the Answer relies in whole or in part on that mistaken notion, every one must be and is trashed.

As long as defense counsel must return to the drawing board in any event, the Amended Answer must also conform to this

1

District Court's LR 10.1, which requires every responsive pleading to set out each allegation being responded to (a requirement most often, though not always, satisfied by an in haec verba repetition of those allegations), followed by each responding paragraph. That requirement has as its obvious purpose the reader's ability to see precisely what is and what is not being put in issue without having to flip back and forth between two separate pleadings.

Defense counsel are ordered to file the required Amended Answer in this Court's chambers (with a copy of course being transmitted to Funds' counsel) on or before October 5, 2001. No charge is to be made to defendants by their counsel for the added work and expense incurred in correcting counsel's own errors. Defense counsel are ordered to apprise their clients to that effect by letter, with a copy to be transmitted to this Court's chambers as an informational matter (not for filing).

Milton I. Shadur

Senior United States District Judge

Mulfan D Suden

Date: September 26, 2001

gr . . 4.